Does “Implicit Bias Training” work?
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One of few strategies proven effective in helping change behavior in response to stereotype-based bias

- “Motivated self-regulation” – social psychology
- “Intuitive override” – judicial reasoning
- “Forward-looking tuneability by reasons” - philosophy
- “Breaking the bias habit” – our research team

Monteith et al., 2016; Guthrie et al., 2009; Toribio, 2021; Carnes et al., 2015; Devine et al., 2017
Two cluster randomized controlled studies of bias habit-breaking workshops with STEMM faculty (R01 GM088477, R35 GM122557)

**Study 1:** 92 STEMM departments at UW-Madison

Departments receiving a 2.5 hour workshop vs. controls:

- Greater awareness of personal bias; and motivation and self-efficacy to engage in bias-reducing activities at 3 months
- Perception of a more inclusive department climate on a faculty worklife survey 1-24 months after the workshop
- Increase from 32% to 46% women among new faculty hires and greater retention of male faculty 2-3 years after vs. 2-3 years before the intervention

**Study 2:** 19 departments of medicine in U.S.

Divisions receiving a 3.0 hour workshop vs. controls:

- Greater self-efficacy and action to engage in a number of bias-reducing activities at 3 months
6 elements of successful bias habit-reducing workshops delivered to an entire department/division of STEMM faculty in which participation was voluntary

1. Establish credibility of presenters

2. Appreciative inquiry-type exercise
   • “What would be the benefits of diversity and inclusion in your specific area?”

3. Implicit bias as a habit: How simply knowing cultural stereotypes can distort perceptions
   • Stoop Color Naming Task
   • Experimental study demonstrating perceptual distortion from stereotypic assumptions

4. Bias literacy
   • Introduce, label, and illustrate with studies 4-5 bias concepts
   • Apply bias concepts to case studies

5. Provide 5-6 evidence-based strategies to practice (and note strategies that do not work)

6. Written implementation intention exercise (personal if/then scenarios)
Why do we think this approach worked?

- Engaged those responsible for organizational norms
- Incorporated strategies shown to be effective in fostering sustained intentional behavioral change
- Participation was voluntary
- Enabled social diffusion by targeting the entire dept/division
2 strategies to practice to break your own bias habits

• **Growth mindset:** e.g., “with hard work I can overcome the influence of stereotypes on my judgment and decision-making” *(based on studies in Carr et al., 2012)*

• **Perceiving variability:** Whenever you hear someone say [members of some group] are..., respond with “some are ____, some are ____, some others are____...” *(based on studies in Er-rafiy & Brauer, 2012)*
Why focus on individual faculty rather than on policy?

• Behavioral norms can be more powerful than policy in perpetuating bias; for example
  • Equal pay for equal work has been law for almost 50 years Equal Pay Act, 1963; Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, 1964
  • Multiple studies affirm gender pay inequity in academic science and medicine including chairs ACP position statement 2018; Menash et al.2020

• For organizational culture to change, the individuals who create the culture and make policy must intentionally change their behavior Rogers 1962; Noneka 1994; Simpson 2002
  • Requirements by some deans to attend WISELI search committee training before release of position
  • Value added for DEI work in tenure criteria